



SRI VENKATESWARA VETERINARY UNIVERSITY
ADMN.OFFICE :: DR.Y.S.R.BHAVAN :: TIRUPATI - 517 502

Cir.Memo.No.416395/Ser.I/2022

Dated:20-08-2025

Sub: SVVU, Tirupati - State Teachers Award - Universities 2025
 Calling applications from the eligible Teachers - Reg

- Ref 1.** Go.Ms.No.25 Higher Education (MC) Department, Dated: -- ::
 : 01.07.2022.
 2. Go.Ms.No.47 Higher Education (MC) Department, Dated: 14.08.2023
 3. APSCHE Rc.No.APSCHE/ACI/State Awards /Uni Teach/2025, dated.05.08.2025.`
 4. G.O.Ms.No.HE Dept dated.14.08.2025
 &&&& :: --

Pursuant to the directions received vide ref (3) cited, applications are invited from the eligible teachers working at SVVU, Tirupati for State Teacher Awards for the year 2025.

The filled in application should reach this office on or before 20-08-2025 03.00 along with the recommendations of the Heads of the Institutions wherever necessary through a special messenger as was communicated by WhatsApp to all the Associate Deans, Research Station Heads.

Copies of references (1) to (4) with prescribed application format are enclosed.

A copy of this circular is available at www.svvu.edu.in

This should be given top priority.

R VINOO
REGISTRAR

To

All the Heads of the Institutions under the control of SVVU for circulation among all the teaching faculty.

Cc: to T.O. to Vice - Chancellor with a request to post this circular in SVVU website.

Cc: to PS to Vice-Chancellor

Cc: to PA to all University officers, Admn.Office, SVVU, Tirupati.

Cc: to SC



ANDHRA PRADESH STATE COUNCIL OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(A Statutory Body of the Government of A.P)

3rd, 4th and 5th floors, Neeladri Towers, 6th Battalion Road, Atmakur (V), Mangalagiri(M),
Guntur-522 503, Andhra Pradesh

Web: www.apsche.org, Email: secretary@apsche.org academiccell@apsche.org



T. V. SRI KRISHNA MURTHY
JOINT DIRECTOR & SECRETARY (FAC)

Lr.No.APSCHE/ACI/State Awards/UniTeach/ 2025

Dt: 5.08.2025

To
The Registrar

Andhra University	Acharya Nagarjuna University	Sri Venkateswara University
Krishna University	Vikrama Simhapuri University	Adikavi Nannayya University
Yogi Vemana University	Sri Krishnadevaraya University	Sri Padmavathi Mahila Viswa Vidhyalayam
Cluster University	Dr. Adbul Haq Urdu University	Dr. B. R. Ambedkar University
JNTU (K)	JNTU (A)	RGUKT
Andhra Pradesh Fisheries University	Dr. YSR University of Health Sciences	Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University
Dr. YSR Horticultural University	Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University	Dr. YSR Architecture and Fine Arts
JNTU G	Andhra Kesari University	Rayalaseema University
Dravidian University	Sri Venkateswara Vedic University	

Sub: APSCHE –State Teacher Awards – Universities – 2025 –Calling for applications of eligible University Teachers - Reg.

Ref: 1. G.O. Ms. No. 25 Higher Education (MC) Department Dt. 01. 07. 2022.
2. G.O. Ms. No. 47 Higher Education (MC) Department Dt. 14. 08. 2023.

In the reference 1st cited, Government issued modified guidelines in connection with the implementation of State Teacher Awards for university and college teachers. In the refence 2ndcited, the Government issued certain modifications to the guidelines issued in G.O cited in reference 1st cited.

Hence you are requested to call for applications from all eligible teachers of your university, by scrupulously following the eligibility criteria for University Teachers as given in the G.O Ms. No 25, reference 1 cited above.

The Registrars are further requested to give wide publicity among all the teachers of your university by placing the request for application for State Teacher Awards

on the University website and also sending emails to all the faculty, so that the dissemination of information is perfectly done.

As per the G.O. Ms. No. 25 referred above, a 5-member Scrutiny Committee be constituted with the Vice-Chancellor of the University as the Chairman and other Members from among the Principals, faculty Chairmen/Deans of the faculty to be nominated by the Vice-Chancellor.

The **last date for the submission of applications** for the State Teacher Awards **by the eligible and desirous faculty**, with all the required evidences/supporting documents **to the University/Scrutiny Committee** shall be **18th August 2025**.


The Scrutiny Committee shall **scrutinise** all the applications received for the award of State Teacher Awards, as per the eligibility criteria mentioned in the said G.O. **from 19th to 20th August 2025**. The Scrutiny Committee shall verify the evidences submitted by the applicant and confirm the authenticity of the documents submitted. The committee should verify for the disciplinary action, if any, taken against the candidates or allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases, if any, pending against them and disqualify such applicants for being considered for award.

A worksheet shall be prepared group-wise, as per the proforma provided, with the scores of the Scrutiny Committee. A certificate is required from the registrar of the University stating that the recommended candidates are not having any allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases pending against them and were not given punishment by the University or any other institution where the candidate worked before joining university service.

The consolidated list along with the worksheets, hard copies of applications and evidences/supporting documents **shall reach the office of the Secretary, AP State Council of Higher Education, before 5:00pm on 21st August 2025**.

Consolidated list along with the hard copies of applications received after the due date and those without the supporting documents of applicants shall summarily be rejected.

Yours faithfully,



SECRETARY

Important Dates

1	Issue of Notification for calling for applications	06.08.2025
2	Last date for receiving the applications by the University	18.08.2025
3	Scrutiny of the applications by University Scrutiny Committee	19.08.2025 to 20.08.2025
4.	Submission of the recommended list of awardees by the Universities with all hardcopies to APSCHE	Before 5:00 p.m. on 21.08.2025

Encl:

1. G.O. Ms. No. 25.
2. Teacher Performance Report format (application for the award) for university teachers.
3. Worksheet proforma to be filled by the Scrutiny Committee of the University, group-wise and submitted along with the hard copies of applications and evidences.

For clarifications if any, contact Srirangam Mathew, Academic Officer, APSCHE.
(Mobile: 94 90 97 97 96) email: academiccell@apsche.org

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

Higher Education – Institution of State Awards to the University and College Teachers – Modification – Orders – Issued.

HIGHER EDUCATION (MC) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms.No. 25

Dated:01.07.2022.
Read the following:-

- 1) G.O.Ms.No.1055, Education Department, dated 19.12.1979.
- 2) G.O.Ms.No.95, Education (UE.I) Dept., dated 13.03.1991.
- 3) G.O.Rt.No.491, Higher Education (UE.II) Dept., dated 06.07.2001.
- 4) G.O.Ms.No.119, Higher Education (UE.II) Dept., dated 18.07.2008.
- 5) G.O.Ms.No.71, Higher Education (UE.II) Dept., dated 06.08.2010.
- 6) From the Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter No.01/Acad.Cell-SA/AC-6/2014, dated 20.06.2014.
- 7) From the Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter No.01/Acad.Cell-SA/AC-6/2014, dated 23.07.2014.
- 8) G.O.Ms.No.41, Higher Education (UE.II) Dept., dated 05.08.2014.
- 9) the Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P., Hyderabad, Letter No.01/Acad.Cell/State Awards-2016/AC-6/2016, dated 14.06.2016.
- 10) G.O.Rt.No.181, Higher Education (UE) Dept., dated 29.07.2016.
- 11) Letter No.APSCHE/Ums-1053-Teacher Awards-Cash Awards/2017, dated 12.10.2017.
- 12) Letter No.APSCHE/Ums-C2-1053-Teacher Awards-Commt./2019, dated 16.12.2019.
- 13) From the Secretary, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Mangalagiri, Guntur District, efile bearing Computer No.1481072.

--O--

ORDER:-

In the G.O. 4th read above, orders were issued evolving a revised Scheme for selection of Teachers for the State Awards for University and College Teachers.

2. In the Letter 6th read above, the Commissioner of Collegiate Education has requested to review the number of State Awards and strengthening the procedure for selection of University and College teachers for the State Awards.

3. In order to bring quality and transparency in State Teacher Awards, a review meeting has been conducted by the Commissioner of Collegiate Education with the Chairman, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department, Commissioner of Collegiate Education, Commissioner of Intermediate Education, Commissioner of School Education and the Vice Chancellors of some selected Universities on 19.07.2014 to suggest changes and guidelines for maintaining academic excellence and recognize meritorious teachers from University and affiliated Colleges for the State Teacher Awards.

4. In the Letter 7th read above, the Commissioner of Collegiate Education has submitted a detailed proposal suggesting certain changes in the selection of Teachers awards from 2014 in accordance with the Minutes of the Meeting. After

careful examination of the recommendations, the Government in partial modification of the orders issued in the G.O. 4th read above, additional guidelines were issued vide G.O. 8th read above.

5. In the reference 9th read above, the Commissioner of Collegiate Education has submitted a detailed proposal and requested to enhance the number of State Awards to certain Universities and include one University in the list of Universities. Accordingly, certain amendments were carried out and orders were issued vide G.O. 10th read above.

6. In the references 11th and 12th read above, the A.P. State Council of Higher Education constituted an Expert Committee to evolve new guidelines and to procedure to felicitate the selected teachers for State Teacher Award and to incur appropriate head-wise expenditure for conduct of State Teacher Award function. The Secretary, A.P. State Council of Higher Education in the reference 13th read above has requested the Government to issue modified guidelines to State Teacher Awards for University and College Teachers.

7. After careful examination of the matter, Government decided to approve the recommendations of the above Committee on the revised scheme of State Awards to the University and College Teachers.

8. In partial modification of the orders issued in references 1st to 5th, 8th and 10th read above, Government hereby issue the following modified guidelines in connection with the implementation of State Teacher Awards for University and College Teachers. The Teacher Performance Report formats (application for the award) for College and University Teachers are annexed as Annexure -1 and Annexure - 2 respectively.

GUIDELINES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF STATE TEACHER AWARDS FOR UNIVERSITY & COLLEGE TEACHERS

1. The following are guidelines for two categories of the University and College teachers.

- a. State Awards for University Teachers (Subject-Wise)
- b. State Awards for College Teachers (Subject-Wise)

2. **Following are the groups, subjects in the groups and number of awards per group.**

2.1 University Teachers

Sl No	Group	Subjects in the Group	No. of Awards
1	Arts / Humanities	1. Fine Arts 2. Theatre Arts 3. Performing Arts 4. Folk Arts 5. Telugu 6. English 7. Hindi 8. Urdu 9. Sanskrit 10. Philosophy	2
2	Social Sciences - I	1. History 2. Archaeology 3. Social Work 4. Sociology	3

		5. Political Science 6. Public Administration 7. Women Studies 8. Population Studies	
3	Social Science – II	1. Economics/Rural Development 2. Psychology 3. Anthropology 4. Journalism & Mass Communication 5. Library & Information Sciences 6. Education 7. Physical Education 8. Yoga	3
4	Commerce/Management	1. Commerce 2. Management 3. Human Resources Mgmt 4. Industrial Relations	3
5	Law	1. Law	1
6	Life Sciences - I	1. Botany 2. Microbiology 3. Biochemistry 4. Environmental Sciences 5. Food & Nutrition 6. Food Technology	3
7	Life Sciences – II	1. Zoology 2. Biotechnology 3. Marine Biology 4. Aquaculture 5. Molecular Biology 6. Genetics 7. Sericulture	3
8	Physical Sciences – I	1. Physics/Nuclear Physics 2. Electronics/Instrumentation Science 3. Geology / Geophysics 4. Geography / Meteorology 5. Oceanic Science 6. Atmospheric Science	3
9	Physical Sciences - II	1. Chemistry 2. Mathematics 3. Applied Mathematics 4. Statistics 5. Astronomy 6. Computer Science/Applications	3
10	Engineering - I	1. Civil Engineering 2. Chemical Engineering 3. Mechanical Engineering / Metallurgy 4. Instrumentation 5. Biomedical Engineering 6. Renewable Energy 7. Petroleum 8. Architecture 9. Biotechnology	4
11	Engineering - II	1. EEE 2. ECE	4

		3. CSE/IT 4. Marine 5. Nanotechnology 6. Aeronautical	
12	Pharmacy	1. Pharmacy	1
13	Agriculture	1. Agriculture	3
14	Horticulture	1. Horticulture	1
15	Veterinary Science	1. Veterinary Science 2. Dairy Science 3. Fisheries Science	2
16	Medicine	1. Modern Medicine 2. Dental Science 3. AYUSH Programmes 4. Nursing, Paramedical, Physiotherapy and Lab Technology	3
Total Number of Awards			42

Note: The subjects not specified above into such group mentioned above, considering their area of operation can be included. However, the number of awards shall not be increased in any of the groups.

2.2. College Teachers

SI No	Group	Subjects in the Group	No. of Awards
1	Languages	1. Telugu 2. English 3. Hindi 4. Sanskrit	4 (1 for each subject area)
2	Social Sciences	1. History 2. Economics 3. Social Work / Sociology 4. Political Science 5. Public Administration 6. Philosophy / Psychology 7. Education	7 (1 for each subject area)
4	Commerce/Management	1. Commerce / Management	3
5	Sciences	1. Botany 2. Microbiology 3. Mathematics 4. Physics 5. Chemistry 6. Statistics 7. Zoology 8. Biotechnology 9. Biochemistry 10. Electronics / Computer Science & Applications	10 (1 for each subject area)

6	Engineering/ Pharmacy	1. Civil 2. Mechanical 3. Electrical and Electronics 4. Electrical and Communication 5. Computer Science/ IT 6. Pharmacy	6 (1for each subject area)
Total Number of Awards			30

Note: The subjects not specified above into such group mentioned above, considering their area of operation can be included. However, the number of awards shall not be increased in any of the groups.

3. Eligibility Criteria for University Teachers

- 3.1. A teacher should have put in a minimum of 10 years of regular service.
- 3.2. He/she must be engaged in active teaching work on the date of recommendation. However, the teachers who have retired during the previous 12 months or during the previous academic year may also be considered.
- 3.3. A teacher who is recipient of the state award earlier shall not be considered.
- 3.4. The application of Registrar of the University shall not be considered.
4. Commitment to and excellence in academic work and teaching as judged by class room teaching learning activities, coverage of course content, effectiveness of teaching and usage of ICT in teaching-learning process need to be judged.
5. Honesty, integrity, character and ability to get along with colleagues, students and others should be the spirit in judging the recommendation.
6. A 5 Member Scrutiny Committee may be constituted with Vice-Chancellor of the University as Chairman and the other Members from among Principals, faculty Chairmen/ Deans of the faculty to be nominated by the Vice-Chancellor. The committee should verify the disciplinary action if any taken against the candidates or allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases, if any, pending against them and disqualify such applicants for being considered for award. A certificate is required from the Registrar of the University stating that the recommended candidates are not having any allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases pending against them and were not given punishment by the University or any other institution where the candidate worked before joining University service.

The applicants based on the score in the Teachers Performance Report with the evidences submitted, shall be nominated to the State Awards, strictly based on merit.

7. Unless otherwise specified, the submission of data in the performance report shall be for the preceding five years.
8. The Performance parameters and weightages are as follows:

Sl. No.	Performance Parameter	Weightage (%)
---------	-----------------------	---------------

1	Teaching and contribution to Academics	40
2	Research and Consultancy	45
3	Extension and Community Service	15

9. The performance parameter, Teaching and contribution to Academics (score 400) shall include:
- Objective assessment of the Head of the Department / Principal (max. Score 80)
 - Student feedback on teacher performance (max. score 60)
 - Awards/Honours/Fellowships received by the teacher (max. score 40)
 - Teacher Distinctions (max. score 30)
 - Stay abroad on official leave for teaching/research/exchange programme (not for employment) (max. score 30)
 - Books authored and published with ISBN number (max. score 100)
 - Capacity Building Programmes attended (max. score 30)
 - For serving as Chairman / Member of Committees of Ministries of State / Central Govt / ICSSR / ICAR /DST / DBT / AICTE / UGC / NAAC (max. score 30)
10. The performance parameter, Research and Consultancy (score 450) shall Include:
- Research guidance (max. score 100)
 - Research Projects completed / ongoing (max. score 100)
 - Research Publications in UGC CARE listed journals (max. score 100)
 - Patents (max. score 30)
 - Member on Editorial Boards of UGC CARE listed journals (max. score 20)
 - Seminars / Conferences / Symposia attended and presented papers / delivered key note addresses / invited lectures (max. score 20)
 - Seminars / Conferences / /Symposia / Workshops organized by the teacher in the Department / University (max. score 30)
 - Consultancy / Training (max. score 50)
11. The performance parameter, Extension and Other activities (score of 150) shall include:
- Involvement in Extension / Outreach activities (max. score of 40)
 - Membership in Professional Bodies / Societies, membership in Governing Executive / Advisory bodies of an industry or other sectors (max. score of 40)
 - Administrative assignments (max score of 40)

d) Establishing Start-Ups (max score of 30)

12. For awarding the score for objective observations of Head of the Department / Principal under Teaching and contribution to academics, the assessment done for the preceding academic year has to be considered. The award of score shall be based on factual data with verifiable evidence. The Head of the Department / Principal is responsible for any grievance and/or complaint with respect to 1A & 1B of the teacher performance report.
13. Same criteria shall also be followed for Student feedback on teacher performance. If student feedback is not collected and if proper evidence is not provided, the score should be zero. The Head of the Department / Principal is responsible for any grievance and/or complaint on this matter
14. The awards / honours / fellowships awarded by Govt / Govt. recognized academic bodies received by the teacher during the service shall be considered. Research projects are not to be included under this head.
15. Teachers who participated in refresher courses, orientation courses, training programmes or any other course in a related area of his/her subject, for a minimum period of one week duration, which enhances the capacity of the teacher may be considered.
16. The research projects awarded by public and private funding agencies in the name of the applicant shall only be considered. In case of Co-Investigator for such projects, 35% of the score to be considered provided the name of the Co-Investigator is mentioned in the award/selection letter of the funding agency. Research Projects like FIST / SAP which are awarded to the Department / University are not to be considered under the Research Projects completed / ongoing under Research and Consultancy parameter.
17. Research publications in UGC CARE listed journals are to be considered. The CARE list as given by UGC comprises of four groups:

Group A: Includes research journals in disciplines under Science, Engineering, Technology, Agriculture and biomedical Sciences indexed in SCOPUS and / or Web of Sciences (WOS).

Group B: Includes Journals qualified after analysis as per the protocol from the existing UGC list.

Group C: Includes journals from social sciences, humanities, languages and Indian knowledge systems recommended by CARE members, which are qualified as per the protocol.

Group D: Includes new journals submitted by CARE universities which are qualified as per the protocol.

For faculties of Sciences and Engineering the merit order is Group A and then Group B, whereas for other subjects, the merit order is Group C and Group D.

18. The training programmes supported by public and private organisations in the name of the applicant shall only be considered. In case of training programmes awarded to the Department or College, individuals cannot claim such revenues generated, unless the Vice-Chancellor / Registrar / Principal apportions the grant to individual teachers. The honorarium paid to teachers does not fall under this category.

19. **The candidates who secured 50% or more score shall only be considered for recommending for the State Teacher Award.**
20. The revised format of Teacher Performance Report for University Teachers, along with the weightages is appended as Annexure – I.
21. The applications shortlisted by the Scrutiny Committee of the University shall be forwarded to the APSCHE.
22. **Eligibility Criteria for College Teachers:**
 - 22.1 A teacher should have put in a minimum of 10 years of service as Lecturer in Government/Private Aided/Private Unaided Degree/Postgraduate Colleges as on 1st September of that particular year in which he/she is applying for the State Award. The prescribed service of 10 years could be the aggregate regular and ratified service put in various recognised institutions/universities.
 - 22.2 In case of teachers of Private Unaided Degree/Postgraduate Colleges applying for the State Awards, the College in which they have/are worked/working should have been recognised under section 2(f) of UGC Act and / or accredited by National Assessment and Accreditation Council. Only those teachers whose appointments are ratified by the respective universities are eligible to apply.
 - 22.3 He/she must be engaged in active teaching work on the date of recommendation. However, the teachers who have retired during the previous 12 months or during the previous academic year may also be considered.
 - 22.4 A teacher who is recipient of the state award earlier shall not be considered.
23. Commitment to and excellence in academic work and teaching as judged by class room teaching learning activities, coverage of course content, effectiveness of teaching and usage of ICT in teaching-learning process need to be judged.
24. Honesty, integrity, character and ability to get along with colleagues, students and others should be the spirit in judging the recommendation.
25. A 10 member Scrutiny Committee shall be constituted at the Commissionerate of Collegiate level with Commissioner of Collegiate Education as Chairman. The Chairman shall nominate other Members from among RJD's and the Principals of Government Degree Colleges/ private Degree Colleges to scrutinize the applications of college teachers. The Committee should verify the disciplinary action if any taken against the candidates or allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases, if any, pending against them and disqualify such applicants for being considered for award. A certificate from the Principal of the College stating that the recommended candidates are not having any allegations/court cases/disciplinary cases pending against them and were not given punishment by the Government / College or any other Institution where the candidate worked before joining in the present service. In case Principal of the College is an applicant the concerned RJD shall certify.

26. The applicants based on the score in the Teachers Performance Report with the evidences submitted, shall be nominated to the State Awards, strictly based on merit.
27. For awarding the score for assessment of the teacher by the Principal, the assessment done for the preceding academic year has to be considered. The award of score shall be based on factual data with verifiable evidence. The Principal is responsible for any grievance and/or complaint with respect to 1A - Assessment by the Principal, 1B - student feedback on teacher performance and 1C - Assessment based on records of the teacher performance report.

28. The Performance parameters and weightages are as follows:

Sl. No.	Performance Parameter	Weightage (%)
1	Teaching and contribution to Academics	60
2	Research and Consultancy	20
3	Extension and Community Service	20

29. **The candidates who secured 50% or more score shall only be considered for recommending for the State Teacher Award.**
30. The revised format of Teacher Performance Report for College Teachers, along with the weightages is appended as Annexure - II.
31. The applications shortlisted by the Scrutiny Committee for the College Teachers shall be forwarded to the APSCHE for placing it before the final Selection Committee.
32. The applications of the candidates recommended by University Level Committee will be scrutinised by a Committee consisting of subject experts under the Chairmanship of Vice-Chairman 1, APSCHE (as per G.O.Ms.No.41, Higher Education (UE) Dept., dated 05.08.2014)
33. A State-Level Committee shall consider the lists of University teachers and College teachers scrutinised by the respective Committees and recommend the names of the State Awardees to the Government (as per G.O.Ms.No.95, Education (UE) Dept., dated 13.03.1991)
34. The APSCHE shall facilitate complete financial assistance for the entire process of the State Teacher Awards including the final State Awards function.
35. The teachers selected for State Teacher Award may be felicitated by awarding a Certificate, gold coated silver medal weighing 20 grams, shawl, payment of expenditure on TA/DA and other incidental expenditure on refreshments.
36. The State Teacher Award function may be conducted only in University / College / Cultural Association Auditorium, avoiding private Convention Centers and hotel banquet halls.
37. If any candidate is recognised and awarded at a significantly high level by the Government of India or any other National and International organisation, the state level committee may recognise the extraordinary meritorious performance by adding 10% of total marks additionally.

38. The APSCHE is advised to conduct the function economically incurring minimum expenditure possible.
39. In case of only one or two candidate/s or no eligible candidate is/available in a subject group, the State Level Committee can take a decision on the matter.
9. The Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P., Vijayawada and the Secretary, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Mangalagiri, Guntur District shall take necessary action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

J.SYAMALA RAO
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
The Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P., Vijayawada
The Secretary, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Mangalagiri, Guntur Dist.
The Commissioner of Technical Education, A.P., Vijayawada
The Commissioner of School Education, A.P., Vijayawada
The Chairman, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Mangalagiri, Guntur Dist.
The Vice Chairman, A.P. State Council of Higher Education, Mangalagiri, Guntur Dist.
The Registrars of all Universities of Andhra Pradesh.

Copy to:-

P.S to Secretary to C.M.

The O.S.D to Minister (Education)

The P.S. to Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education Dept.

The P.S. to Spl.Chief Secretary to Government, School Education Dept.

SF/SCs.(Computer No.1492111)

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//


SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE-I

STATE AWARDS FOR UNIVERSITY TEACHERS

TEACHER PERFORMANCE REPORT

STATE AWARDS FOR UNIVERSITY TEACHERS

Particulars of the Teacher

1	Name (Prof./Dr./Mr./Mrs./Ms.) in BLOCK LETTERS	
2	Designation	
3	Department / college	
4	Mobile Number	
5	Email Address	
6	University	
7	Date of birth	
8	Educational qualifications	
9	Total length of service as Teacher in the university	
10	Score obtained as per Teacher Performance Report (enclosed)	

STATE AWARDS FOR UNIVERSITY TEACHERS

TEACHER PERFORMANCE REPORT

EVALUATION OF TEACHER PERFORMANCE

Performance parameter	Weight (%)
Teaching and contribution to Academia	40
Research and Consultancy	45
Extension	15

Evaluation of Teacher Performance

S. No	Performance parameter	Max. Score	Performance score																																																																																										
I.	Teaching and contribution to Academia: A) As per objective observations of the Head, Department/Principal (Max. score : 80) Assessment of the teacher on a 5-grade scale where grades 1 to 5 correspond to : poor, satisfactory, good, very good and excellent respectively <table><tr><th>Score</th><th>10</th><th>8</th><th>6</th><th>4</th><th>2</th></tr><tr><td>1. Availability to students during working hours</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>2. Punctuality to classes</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>3. Regularity in taking classes as per Time-Table</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>4. Maintenance of students' attendance register</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>5. Maintenance of lecture diary</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>6. Arranging classroom seminars to students</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>7. Regularity in giving home assignments</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>8. Celerity/briskness in providing solutions to assignments</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>9. Promptness in returning answer scripts to students</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>10. Availability for examination work including invigilation</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>11. Participation in curriculum / syllabus development</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>12. Participation in college/ Department activities</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>13. Usage of ICT in teaching-learning - process</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr><tr><td>14. Development of Online Courses/ e-content</td><td>grade 5</td><td>grade 4</td><td>grade 3</td><td>grade 2</td><td>grade 1</td></tr></table>	Score	10	8	6	4	2	1. Availability to students during working hours	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	2. Punctuality to classes	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	3. Regularity in taking classes as per Time-Table	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	4. Maintenance of students' attendance register	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	5. Maintenance of lecture diary	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	6. Arranging classroom seminars to students	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	7. Regularity in giving home assignments	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	8. Celerity/briskness in providing solutions to assignments	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	9. Promptness in returning answer scripts to students	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	10. Availability for examination work including invigilation	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	11. Participation in curriculum / syllabus development	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	12. Participation in college/ Department activities	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	13. Usage of ICT in teaching-learning - process	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	14. Development of Online Courses/ e-content	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1	400	
Score	10	8	6	4	2																																																																																								
1. Availability to students during working hours	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
2. Punctuality to classes	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
3. Regularity in taking classes as per Time-Table	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
4. Maintenance of students' attendance register	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
5. Maintenance of lecture diary	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
6. Arranging classroom seminars to students	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
7. Regularity in giving home assignments	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
8. Celerity/briskness in providing solutions to assignments	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
9. Promptness in returning answer scripts to students	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
10. Availability for examination work including invigilation	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
11. Participation in curriculum / syllabus development	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
12. Participation in college/ Department activities	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
13. Usage of ICT in teaching-learning - process	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								
14. Development of Online Courses/ e-content	grade 5	grade 4	grade 3	grade 2	grade 1																																																																																								

	B) Student feedback on teacher performance in the previous academic year (Max. score : 60) Assessment of the teacher on a 5-grade scale where grades 1 to 5 correspond to increasing teacher quality <table><thead><tr><th>% of students who assessed the grade 4 or grade 5</th><th>Score</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>≥95</td><td>60</td></tr><tr><td>90-<95</td><td>55</td></tr><tr><td>85-<90</td><td>50</td></tr><tr><td>80-<85</td><td>45</td></tr><tr><td>75-<80</td><td>40</td></tr><tr><td>70-<75</td><td>35</td></tr><tr><td>65-<70</td><td>30</td></tr><tr><td>60-<65</td><td>25</td></tr><tr><td>55-<60</td><td>20</td></tr><tr><td>50-<55</td><td>15</td></tr></tbody></table>	% of students who assessed the grade 4 or grade 5	Score	≥95	60	90-<95	55	85-<90	50	80-<85	45	75-<80	40	70-<75	35	65-<70	30	60-<65	25	55-<60	20	50-<55	15		
% of students who assessed the grade 4 or grade 5	Score																								
≥95	60																								
90-<95	55																								
85-<90	50																								
80-<85	45																								
75-<80	40																								
70-<75	35																								
65-<70	30																								
60-<65	25																								
55-<60	20																								
50-<55	15																								
	C) Awards: Awards/honors/Fellowships received by the teacher from Govt./Govt. recognized academic bodies all over the career. (Max. score : 40) i) State level : 10 (each) ii) National level : 15 (each) iii) International level : 20 (each)																								
	D) Teacher distinction (Max. score : 30) i) Nominated/elected to State Professional bodies : 10 (each) ii) Nominated/elected to National bodies : 15 (each) iii) Nominated/elected to International bodies : 20 (each)																								
	E) Stay abroad on official leave for teaching/research/exchange programme (not for employment) on invitation (Max. score : 30) For every 3 months' stay : 10																								
	F) Books authored and published in the last 5 years with ISBN (Max. score : 100) Single-author : 30 (each) (text/reference books) Two authors : 25 (each) (text/reference books) Multiple authors : 20 (each) (text/reference books) Single-author : 20 (each) (other books) Two authors : 15 (each) (text/reference books) Multiple authors : 20 (each) (other books)																								

	G) Capacity Buildings Programmes attended in the proceeding 5 years (Max score: 30) Each Programme of atleast one week duration carries a score of 10 H) Chairman/Member of Committees of Ministries State Govt/ Central Govt / ICAR / ICSSR / DST / DBT / AICTE / UGC / NAAC (Max score: 30) Committees Chairman : 10 Committees Member : 5																																										
II)	<u>Research and Consultancy – (preceding five years data to be validated)</u> <i>For Teachers from Science Faculty</i> A) Research guidance (Max. score : 100) i) M.Phil. : 5 (each)Max. marks of 50 ii) Ph.D. : 10 (each) B) Research projects completed/ongoing during the last 5 years (Max. score : 100) C) Full score for PI; 35% of the score for Co-investigator, if mentioned in the original sanction order of the award for the project <table border="1"> <tr> <th>Financial outlay for each Project</th><th>Financial Support from outside India</th><th>Financial support from public funding</th><th>Financial Support from Industry</th></tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 100 lakh or more</td><td>50.</td><td>45</td><td>40</td></tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 75 – 100 lakh</td><td>45</td><td>40</td><td>35</td></tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 50 – 75 lakh</td><td>40</td><td>35</td><td>30</td></tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 25 – 50 lakh</td><td>35</td><td>30</td><td>25</td></tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 5 – 20 lakh</td><td>30</td><td>25</td><td>20</td></tr> <tr> <td>Rs < 5 laks</td><td>25</td><td>20</td><td>15</td></tr> </table> C)Research publications during the last 5 years (Max. score :100) <table border="1"> <tr> <th>Nature of Journal</th><th>Marks for single author</th><th>Marks for two authors</th><th>Marks for more than two authors</th></tr> <tr> <td>Group – A UGC CARE listed Journal</td><td>20</td><td>15</td><td>6</td></tr> <tr> <td>Group – B UGC CARE listed Journal</td><td>15</td><td>10</td><td>5</td></tr> </table> D) Patents (in the preceding 5 years) (Max. score : 30) i) patents granted : 25 (each) ii) patents published : 5 (each) iii) patents filed : 5 (each) E) Member on Editorial Boards of UGC CARE listed journals (Max. score : 20) For each journal : 5 F) Seminars/conferences/symposia attended and presented papers/delivered keynote addresses (Max. score : 20)	Financial outlay for each Project	Financial Support from outside India	Financial support from public funding	Financial Support from Industry	Rs. 100 lakh or more	50.	45	40	Rs. 75 – 100 lakh	45	40	35	Rs. 50 – 75 lakh	40	35	30	Rs. 25 – 50 lakh	35	30	25	Rs. 5 – 20 lakh	30	25	20	Rs < 5 laks	25	20	15	Nature of Journal	Marks for single author	Marks for two authors	Marks for more than two authors	Group – A UGC CARE listed Journal	20	15	6	Group – B UGC CARE listed Journal	15	10	5	450	
Financial outlay for each Project	Financial Support from outside India	Financial support from public funding	Financial Support from Industry																																								
Rs. 100 lakh or more	50.	45	40																																								
Rs. 75 – 100 lakh	45	40	35																																								
Rs. 50 – 75 lakh	40	35	30																																								
Rs. 25 – 50 lakh	35	30	25																																								
Rs. 5 – 20 lakh	30	25	20																																								
Rs < 5 laks	25	20	15																																								
Nature of Journal	Marks for single author	Marks for two authors	Marks for more than two authors																																								
Group – A UGC CARE listed Journal	20	15	6																																								
Group – B UGC CARE listed Journal	15	10	5																																								

	i) within India : 5 (each) ii) outside India : 10 (each)																										
	G) Seminars/conferences/symposia/workshops organized by the teacher in the Department/university (Max. score : 30) i) National level : 10 (each) ii) International level : 15 (each)																										
	H) Consultancy /Training (Max. score : 50) <table> <tr> <th>Revenue Generated</th> <th>Score</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 50 lakh and above</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs.25 – 50 lakh</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 10 to 25 lakh</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 5 to 10 lakh</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. < 5 lakh</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </table>	Revenue Generated	Score	Rs. 50 lakh and above	25	Rs.25 – 50 lakh	20	Rs. 10 to 25 lakh	15	Rs. 5 to 10 lakh	10	Rs. < 5 lakh	5														
Revenue Generated	Score																										
Rs. 50 lakh and above	25																										
Rs.25 – 50 lakh	20																										
Rs. 10 to 25 lakh	15																										
Rs. 5 to 10 lakh	10																										
Rs. < 5 lakh	5																										
II)	Research and Consultancy – (preceding three years data to be validated) For Teachers from Engg /Tech Faculty A) Research guidance (Max. score : 100) i) M.Tech. : 5 (each max of 50 marks) ii) Ph.D. : 10 (each)	450																									
	B) Research projects completed/ongoing during the last 5 years (Max. score : 100) Full score for PI; 35% of the score for Co-investigator, if mentioned in the original sanction order of the award for the project <table> <tr> <th>Financial outlay for each Project</th> <th>Financial Support from outside India</th> <th>Financial support from public funding</th> <th>Financial Support from Industry</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 100 lakh or more</td> <td>50</td> <td>45</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 75 – 100 lakh</td> <td>45</td> <td>40</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 50 – 75 lakh</td> <td>40</td> <td>35</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 25 – 50 lakh</td> <td>35</td> <td>30</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 5 – 20 lakh</td> <td>30</td> <td>25</td> <td>20</td> </tr> </table>	Financial outlay for each Project	Financial Support from outside India	Financial support from public funding	Financial Support from Industry	Rs. 100 lakh or more	50	45	40	Rs. 75 – 100 lakh	45	40	35	Rs. 50 – 75 lakh	40	35	30	Rs. 25 – 50 lakh	35	30	25	Rs. 5 – 20 lakh	30	25	20		
Financial outlay for each Project	Financial Support from outside India	Financial support from public funding	Financial Support from Industry																								
Rs. 100 lakh or more	50	45	40																								
Rs. 75 – 100 lakh	45	40	35																								
Rs. 50 – 75 lakh	40	35	30																								
Rs. 25 – 50 lakh	35	30	25																								
Rs. 5 – 20 lakh	30	25	20																								
	C) Research publications during the last 5 years (Max. Score : 100) <table> <tr> <th>Nature of Journal</th> <th>Marks for single author</th> <th>Marks for two authors</th> <th>Marks for more than two authors</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Group – A UGC CARE listed Journal</td> <td>20</td> <td>15</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Group – B UGC CARE listed Journal</td> <td>15</td> <td>10</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </table>	Nature of Journal	Marks for single author	Marks for two authors	Marks for more than two authors	Group – A UGC CARE listed Journal	20	15	6	Group – B UGC CARE listed Journal	15	10	5														
Nature of Journal	Marks for single author	Marks for two authors	Marks for more than two authors																								
Group – A UGC CARE listed Journal	20	15	6																								
Group – B UGC CARE listed Journal	15	10	5																								
	D) Patents (Max. score : 30) i) patents awarded : 25 (each) ii) patents published : 5 (each) iii) patents filed : 2 (each)																										

E) Member on Editorial Boards of UGC CARE listed journals (Max. score : 20) For each CARE A journal : 10 For each CARE B journal : 5																															
F) Seminars/conferences/symposia attended and presented papers/delivered keynote addresses (Max. score : 20) i) within India : 5 (each) ii) outside India : 10 (each)																															
G) Seminars/conferences/symposia/workshops organized by the teacher in the Department/university (Max. score : 30) i) National level : 5 (each) ii) International level : 10 (each)																															
H) Consultancy/Training (Max. score : 50) <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Revenue Generated</th><th>Score</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Rs. 50 lakh and above</td><td>25</td></tr><tr><td>Rs.25 – 50 lakh</td><td>20</td></tr><tr><td>Rs. 10 to 25 lakh</td><td>15</td></tr><tr><td>Rs. 5 to 10 lakh</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>Rs. < 5 lakh</td><td>5</td></tr></tbody></table>		Revenue Generated	Score	Rs. 50 lakh and above	25	Rs.25 – 50 lakh	20	Rs. 10 to 25 lakh	15	Rs. 5 to 10 lakh	10	Rs. < 5 lakh	5																		
Revenue Generated	Score																														
Rs. 50 lakh and above	25																														
Rs.25 – 50 lakh	20																														
Rs. 10 to 25 lakh	15																														
Rs. 5 to 10 lakh	10																														
Rs. < 5 lakh	5																														
<u>Research and Consultancy – (preceding three years data to be validated)</u> <i>For Teachers from other Faculties</i> A) Research guidance (Max. score : 100) (i) M. Phil./M.Pharm/ML : 5 (each) max. of 50 marks (ii) Ph.D. : 10 (each)		450																													
B) Research projects completed/ongoing during the last 5 years (Max. score : 100) Full score for PI; 35% of the score for Co-investigator, if mentioned in the original sanction order of the award for the project <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Financial outlay for each Project</th><th>Financial Support from outside India</th><th>Financial support from public funding</th><th>Financial Support from Industry</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Rs. 45 lakh or more</td><td>50</td><td>45</td><td>40</td></tr><tr><td>Rs. 30 – 45 lakh</td><td>45</td><td>40</td><td>35</td></tr><tr><td>Rs. 15 – 30lakh</td><td>40</td><td>35</td><td>30</td></tr><tr><td>Rs. 10–15 lakh</td><td>35</td><td>30</td><td>25</td></tr><tr><td>Rs. 5 – 10 lakh</td><td>30</td><td>25</td><td>20</td></tr><tr><td>Rs < 5 laks</td><td>25</td><td>20</td><td>15</td></tr></tbody></table>		Financial outlay for each Project	Financial Support from outside India	Financial support from public funding	Financial Support from Industry	Rs. 45 lakh or more	50	45	40	Rs. 30 – 45 lakh	45	40	35	Rs. 15 – 30lakh	40	35	30	Rs. 10–15 lakh	35	30	25	Rs. 5 – 10 lakh	30	25	20	Rs < 5 laks	25	20	15		
Financial outlay for each Project	Financial Support from outside India	Financial support from public funding	Financial Support from Industry																												
Rs. 45 lakh or more	50	45	40																												
Rs. 30 – 45 lakh	45	40	35																												
Rs. 15 – 30lakh	40	35	30																												
Rs. 10–15 lakh	35	30	25																												
Rs. 5 – 10 lakh	30	25	20																												
Rs < 5 laks	25	20	15																												
C) Research publications (Max. score : 100) <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Nature of Journal</th><th>Marks for single author</th><th>Marks for two authors</th><th>Marks for more than two authors</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Group –C UGC CARE listed Journal</td><td>20</td><td>15</td><td>6</td></tr><tr><td>Group –D UGC CARE</td><td>15</td><td>10</td><td>5</td></tr></tbody></table>		Nature of Journal	Marks for single author	Marks for two authors	Marks for more than two authors	Group –C UGC CARE listed Journal	20	15	6	Group –D UGC CARE	15	10	5																		
Nature of Journal	Marks for single author	Marks for two authors	Marks for more than two authors																												
Group –C UGC CARE listed Journal	20	15	6																												
Group –D UGC CARE	15	10	5																												

	listed Journal					
	D) Member on Editorial Boards of refereed research journals (Max. score : 25) For each journal : 5					
	E) Seminars/conferences/symposia attended and presented papers/delivered keynote addresses (Max. score : 50) i) within India : 5 (each) ii) outside India : 10 (each)					
	F) Seminars/conferences/symposia/workshops organized by the teacher in the Department/university (Max. score : 25) i) National level : 5 (each) ii) International level : 10 (each)					
	G) Consultancy (Max. score : 50) i) consultancy projects handled : 20 (each) ii) served / serving as consultant to industry / other organizations : 20 (each)					
III)	<u>Extension / other activities – (Preceding FIVE years data to be validated)</u> A) Extension / outreach activities involved in (Max. score : 40) The activities may include contributing to: environment protection, healthcare in rural/slum population, adult literacy, nation building activities, etc.ADD FROM NAAC INVOLVED ROLE TO BE DEFINED For any recognized and authenticated activity : 10 (each)				150	
	B) Membership of Professional Academic bodies/Associations related to the discipline, Membership of Governing / Executive / Advisory body of an industry or other sector with the permission of the university INTERNATIONAL BODIES 10 NATIONAL BODIES 5 MARKS (Max. score : 40) Each : 10					
	C) Administrative assignments (other than those positions acquired by seniority or on rotational basis) (Max. score : 40) For each assignment per year : 5					
	D) Establishing Start-Ups DESCRIPTION For each Start-Up : 15 (Max. score: 30)					
	MAXIMUM SCORE (TOTAL 1000)					

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

- The student feedback on teacher performance is to be consolidated based on the response of the students to the given 20 items on a five-point rating scale. The rating scale refers to – **I : poor, II : average, III : good, IV : very good, V : excellent.**
- The scores corresponding to the ratings are

<u>Rating</u>	<u>Score</u>
I : poor	2
II : average	4
III : good	6
IV : very good	8
V : excellent	10

- The maximum score for the 20 items is 200
- After obtaining the feedback forms from the students, the total score corresponding to the ratings given by each student is to be calculated; and then, the average score corresponding to all the students.

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Note: i) Please give your opinion on the performance of the teacher with reference to the 20 items listed below; the opinion is to be given on a five – Point rating scale – I – poor, II – average, III – good, IV – very good, V – excellent.

ii) Please do not write your name or put your signature anywhere.

College:

Name of the Teacher:

Subject:

Class:

Date:

S.No	Item	Rating				
		I	II	III	IV	V
1	Efforts to effectively cover 100% of Syllabus					
2	The interest generated in the students while teaching in the class room					
3	Clarity of expression while teaching the topic/ lesson					
4	Depth of subject content explained					
5	Level of latest development in the subject taught					
6	Use of other methods of teaching like class seminar, question answer, group discussion etc., in the class room					
7	Encouraging questions on the topic in the class and clearing doubts					
8	Use of teaching models and teaching aids in the class room					
9	Encouraging and supervising the students for study projects					
10	Aptitude shown in arranging field visits, invited lectures etc., in the subject					
11	Encouraging and guiding students in reading library (subject and general) books and using internet					

12	Encouraging and guiding students in giving class seminars					
S.No	Item	Rating				
		I	II	III	IV	V
13	Regularity and seriousness in valuing the answer scripts of monthly tests and discussing the same with the students					
14	Remedial coaching and efforts made in preparing the students for University Examinations					
15	Regularity and punctuality to the class					
16	Enthusiasm shown to encourage and guide students in extracurricular activities like cultural, community service, nation building etc.					
17	Counseling and career guidance					
18	Accessibility of the lecturer outside the classroom for academic interaction					
19	Personal care and affection while dealing with individual students and helping students					
20	Overall opinion on the lecturer					

Worksheet for Univesity Scrutiny Committee - University Teachers Awards 2025
(Worksheet to prepared separately for each Group as given in Sl.no. 2.1 in G.O. Ms. No. 25 HE Dept., Dt. 01.07.2022)

Name of the University:	
-------------------------	--

Group:	Please refer sl.nos 9, 10 and 11 in G.O. Ms. No 25 HE Dept Dt.01.07.2022
---------------	--

[illegible]

Signatures of the University Scrutiny Comm	
(Signature)	(With Name and Designation)

1

2

3

4

5